



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/965,596	09/26/2001	James Meres	80398.P118C	3700

7590 07/14/2005

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
Seventh Floor  
12400 Wilshire Boulevard  
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026

EXAMINER

MICHALSKI, JUSTIN I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2644

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 09/965,596	Applicant(s) MERCs ET AL.	
	Examiner Justin Michalski	Art Unit 2644	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-12 and 18-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-10 and 18-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Election/Restrictions***

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1-4,6-10,18-24 in the reply filed on 28 February 2005 is acknowledged.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 20, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brunelle (US patent 5,608,807).

Regarding Claim 20, Brunelle discloses a plurality of recording tracks (2); and a display comprising a plurality of single indicator lights (Fig. 1), each single indicator light conveying a monitored status of one corresponding track of the plurality of recording tracks, wherein the monitored status indicates both a transport movement (4) and a mode (18) of the one corresponding track.

Regarding Claim 22, it is inherent that the output meter 4 will indicate playing of the track by indicating it's output on the output meter.

Regarding Claim 26, Brunelle further discloses a level meter corresponding to each indicator light of the plurality of indicator lights (output meter 4).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunelle (US Patent 5,608,807).

Regarding Claim 1 and 6, Brunelle discloses a multi-track recording system, comprising a plurality of indicator lights (lights of 18 and lights of 4), each indicator light in said plurality corresponding to a track of the multi-track recording system (Col. 6, lines 18-31), each indicator configured to output a first form and a second form wherein the first form is associated with the output of an input of the corresponding track and the second form is associated with the output of recorded material (Col. 4, lines 34-47). Brunelle does not disclose that the each indicator light is configured to output a first and second color wherein the first color is associated with the output of the recorded material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a color to indicate each output instead of identification data typed in as disclosed by Brunelle to better display multiple visual outputs to a user.

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, and 10, since Brunelle discloses that identification information could be changed as necessary (Col. 5, lines 39-42), it would have been

obvious to have an alternation blinking sequence between two colors as claimed because it would have been an alternative way of indication different situations or signs.

Regarding Claim 7, Brunelle further discloses the output to the plurality of level meters is derived from an external source (Col. 5, lines 36-39).

6. Claim 18, 19, 21, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunelle (US Patent 5,608,807) in view of Turnbull et al. (US Patent 5,803,579).

Regarding Claim 18, Brunelle discloses a method of indicating a track status of a track in a multi-track recording system comprising: determining a transport movement of the track in the multi-track recording system (output meter 4); indicating the transport movement of the track by illuminating a first light emitting diode disposed in a housing (meter 4); determining a mode of the track in the multi-track recording system (18). Brunelle does not disclose indicating the mode of the track by illuminating a second light emitting diode disposed in the housing in close proximity to the first light emitting diode such that when both the first LED and the second LED are activated, a third color is generated. Brunelle discloses that identification information could be changed as necessary (Col. 5, lines 39-42). Turnbulle et al. discloses an led with two colors to produce a third color (Col. 26, lines 38-53) to produce greater illumination. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a LED with two colors to produce a greater illumination.

Regarding Claim 19, since Brunelle discloses that identification information could be changed as necessary (Col. 5, lines 39-42), it would have been obvious to have an alternation blinking sequence between two colors as claimed because it would have been an alternative way of indication different situations or signs.

Regarding Claims 21, 24, and 25, Brunelle does not disclose a color produced by a first and second color. Brunelle discloses that identification information could be changed as necessary (Col. 5, lines 39-42). Turnbulle et al. discloses a LED with two colors to produce a third color (Col. 26, lines 38-53) to produce greater illumination in a transparent housing (28 and 29). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a LED with two colors to produce a greater illumination.

7. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brunelle as applied to claim 20 above in view of Zampini et al. (US Patent 5,444,789). Brunelle does not disclose the mode of the track indicates one of a group comprising Read Audio Input On, Read Audio Input Off, Monitor, Slip Channels, Located Edits, or Input/Output Gain Adjustment. Zampini also discloses a mixer device with a LED which is automatically lit during monitoring, thereby indicating that the line out channels are being monitored (Paragraph bridging columns 1 and 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to indicate that a line out channel is being monitored for feedback to the user of the device.

**Conclusion**

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.


Franks et al. (US Patent 4,879,751) discloses an audio mixer with flashing light.

Stavrou (US Patent 5,257,317) discloses audio mixing apparatus .

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Justin Michalski whose telephone number is (571)272-7524. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571)272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JIM  
  
July 8, 2005

  
VIVIAN CHIN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600